

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/502,005	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OOI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Christian LaForgia	<b>Art Unit</b> 2139

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Christian LaForgia. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Wendi Schepler. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 July 2008 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18, 23-27

Prior art documents discussed:

WO01/61692 A1

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner proposed amending claim 18 to reflect the encoder in independent claim 1. The Applicant agreed to the amendment. Claims 23-27 are to be cancelled by Examiner's amendment as being drawn to a non-elected invention.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christian LaForgia/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2139

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)